

# *On the complexity of ECDLP for composite fields*

*Based on joint works*

*with JC Faugère, JJ Quisquater, L Perret, G Renault*

Christophe Petit



# Discrete logarithm problem (DLP)

---

- ▶ **Discrete logarithm problem**

Given  $G$  a finite (multiplicative) cyclic group

Given  $g$  a generator of  $G$  and given  $h \in G$

Find  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $g^k = h$

- ▶ Diffie-Hellman key exchange, ElGamal encryption, Digital Signature algorithm,...



# Discrete logarithm problem (DLP)

---

- ▶ **Discrete logarithm problem**

Given  $G$  a finite (multiplicative) cyclic group

Given  $g$  a generator of  $G$  and given  $h \in G$

Find  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $g^k = h$

- ▶ Diffie-Hellman key exchange, ElGamal encryption, Digital Signature algorithm,...
- ▶ Cryptographic **assumption** : DLP is “hard” for
  - ▶ Multiplicative groups of finite fields
  - ▶ Elliptic curves
  - ▶ Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves



# *How hard is DLP ?*

---

- ▶ Answer **depends on the group**
  - ▶ Subexponential algorithms exist for finite fields and hyperelliptic curves
  - ▶ Particular elliptic curve families are weaker
  - ▶ 160-bit ECDLP  $\approx$  2048-bit DLP or factoring



# How hard is DLP ?

---

- ▶ Answer **depends on the group**
  - ▶ Subexponential algorithms exist for finite fields and hyperelliptic curves
  - ▶ Particular elliptic curve families are weaker
  - ▶ 160-bit ECDLP  $\approx$  2048-bit DLP or factoring
- ▶ This talk : **elliptic curves over binary fields**  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ 
  - ▶ Includes 10/15 curves standardized by NIST
  - ▶ Complexity thought to be exponential in  $n$



# How hard is DLP ?

---

- ▶ Answer **depends on the group**
  - ▶ Subexponential algorithms exist for finite fields and hyperelliptic curves
  - ▶ Particular elliptic curve families are weaker
  - ▶ 160-bit ECDLP  $\approx$  2048-bit DLP or factoring
- ▶ This talk : **elliptic curves over binary fields**  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ 
  - ▶ Includes 10/15 curves standardized by NIST
  - ▶ Complexity thought to be exponential in  $n$
  - ▶ We argue it is

$$\leq 2^{2n^{2/3} \log n}$$



# Outline

---

From ECDLP to polynomial systems

Algebraic cryptanalysis

Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

Back to ECDLP



# Outline

---

From ECDLP to polynomial systems

Algebraic cryptanalysis

Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

Back to ECDLP





# *ECDLP on binary curves*

---

- ▶ **Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem**

Given  $E$  over a finite field  $K$ ,

Given  $P \in E(K)$ , given  $Q \in G := \langle P \rangle$ ,

Find  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $Q = kP$ .

- ▶ **Binary curves**  $K = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_6 \quad \text{with } a_6 \neq 0$$

Koblitz curve if  $a_6 = 1$  and  $a_2 \in \{0, 1\}$



# *ECDLP on binary curves*

---

- ▶ **Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem**

Given  $E$  over a finite field  $K$ ,

Given  $P \in E(K)$ , given  $Q \in G := \langle P \rangle$ ,

Find  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $Q = kP$ .

- ▶ **Binary curves**  $K = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_6 \quad \text{with } a_6 \neq 0$$

Koblitz curve if  $a_6 = 1$  and  $a_2 \in \{0, 1\}$

- ▶ **How hard is ECDLP on binary curves?**



# Generic DLP attacks

---

- ▶ Some attacks apply to DLP for any group  $G$ 
  - ▶ Exhaustive search
  - ▶ Baby-step, giant step
  - ▶ Pollard's rho
  - ▶ Pohlig-Hellman if  $|G|$  is smooth



# Generic DLP attacks

---

- ▶ Some attacks apply to DLP for any group  $G$ 
  - ▶ Exhaustive search
  - ▶ Baby-step, giant step
  - ▶ Pollard's rho
  - ▶ Pohlig-Hellman if  $|G|$  is smooth
- ▶ In general, no better algorithm for elliptic curves  
160-bit ECDLP  $\approx$  2048-bit DLP or factoring



# *Reductions to simpler DLP*

---

- ▶ Idea : transfer ECDLP to a “simpler” DLP problem through a group homomorphism



## *Reductions to simpler DLP*

---

- ▶ Idea : transfer ECDLP to a “simpler” DLP problem through a group homorphism
- ▶ **MOV reduction** if  $|G|$  divides  $q^m - 1$  [MOV93]  
Transfer ECDLP to DLP on  $K^m$



## *Reductions to simpler DLP*

---

- ▶ Idea : transfer ECDLP to a “simpler” DLP problem through a group homomorphism
- ▶ **MOV reduction** if  $|G|$  divides  $q^m - 1$  [MOV93]  
Transfer ECDLP to DLP on  $K^m$
- ▶ Polynomial time for **anomalous curves** [SA98,S98,S99]  
Transfer ECDLP to a  $p$ -adic elliptic logarithm if  $|G| = |K|$



## *Reductions to simpler DLP*

---

- ▶ Idea : transfer ECDLP to a “simpler” DLP problem through a group homomorphism
- ▶ **MOV reduction** if  $|G|$  divides  $q^m - 1$  [MOV93]  
Transfer ECDLP to DLP on  $K^m$
- ▶ Polynomial time for **anomalous curves** [SA98,S98,S99]  
Transfer ECDLP to a  $p$ -adic elliptic logarithm if  $|G| = |K|$
- ▶ **Weil descent** for some curves over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  [GS99,GHS00]  
Transfer ECDLP to the Jacobian of an hyperelliptic curve





# *Reductions to simpler DLP*

---

- ▶ Idea : transfer ECDLP to a “simpler” DLP problem through a group homomorphism
- ▶ **MOV reduction** if  $|G|$  divides  $q^m - 1$  [MOV93]  
Transfer ECDLP to DLP on  $K^m$
- ▶ Polynomial time for **anomalous curves** [SA98,S98,S99]  
Transfer ECDLP to a  $p$ -adic elliptic logarithm if  $|G| = |K|$
- ▶ **Weil descent** for some curves over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  [GS99,GHS00]  
Transfer ECDLP to the Jacobian of an hyperelliptic curve
- ▶ Only work for specific families



# *This talk : Index calculus*

---

- ▶ General method to solve discrete logarithm problems
  1. Define a **factor basis**  $\mathcal{F} \subset G$
  2. **Relation search** : find about  $|\mathcal{F}|$  **relations**

$$a_i P + b_i Q = \sum_{P_j \in \mathcal{F}} e_{ij} P_j$$

3. Do **linear algebra** modulo  $|G|$  on the relations to get

$$aP + bQ = 0$$



# *This talk : Index calculus*

---

- ▶ General method to solve discrete logarithm problems
  1. Define a **factor basis**  $\mathcal{F} \subset G$
  2. **Relation search** : find about  $|\mathcal{F}|$  **relations**

$$a_i P + b_i Q = \sum_{P_j \in \mathcal{F}} e_{ij} P_j$$

3. Do **linear algebra** modulo  $|G|$  on the relations to get

$$aP + bQ = 0$$

- ▶ Define  $\mathcal{F}$  s.t. there is an “efficient” algorithm for Step 2
- ▶ Balance relation search and linear algebra



## *Example : a naive index calculus for $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$*

---

- ▶ DLP : given  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ , find  $k$  such that  $h = g^k$
- ▶ Factor basis made of **small “primes”**

$$\mathcal{F}_B := \{\text{irreducible } f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X] \mid \deg(f) \leq B\}$$



## Example : a naive index calculus for $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$

---

- ▶ DLP : given  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ , find  $k$  such that  $h = g^k$
- ▶ Factor basis made of **small “primes”**

$$\mathcal{F}_B := \{\text{irreducible } f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X] \mid \deg(f) \leq B\}$$

- ▶ **Relation search**
  - ▶ Choose random  $a, b \in \{1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$
  - ▶ Compute  $r := g^a h^b$
  - ▶ Factor  $r$  with Berlekamp's algorithm



## Example : a naive index calculus for $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$

---

- ▶ DLP : given  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ , find  $k$  such that  $h = g^k$
- ▶ Factor basis made of **small “primes”**

$$\mathcal{F}_B := \{\text{irreducible } f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X] \mid \deg(f) \leq B\}$$

- ▶ **Relation search**

- ▶ Choose random  $a, b \in \{1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$
- ▶ Compute  $r := g^a h^b$
- ▶ Factor  $r$  with Berlekamp's algorithm
- ▶ If all factors  $\in \mathcal{F}_B$ , we have a relation  $g^a h^b = \prod_{f_i \in \mathcal{F}} f_i^{e_i}$



## Example : a naive index calculus for $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$

---

- ▶ DLP : given  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ , find  $k$  such that  $h = g^k$
- ▶ Factor basis made of **small “primes”**

$$\mathcal{F}_B := \{\text{irreducible } f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X] \mid \deg(f) \leq B\}$$

- ▶ **Relation search**

- ▶ Choose random  $a, b \in \{1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$
  - ▶ Compute  $r := g^a h^b$
  - ▶ Factor  $r$  with Berlekamp's algorithm
  - ▶ If all factors  $\in \mathcal{F}_B$ , we have a relation  $g^a h^b = \prod_{f_i \in \mathcal{F}} f_i^{e_i}$
- ▶ For  $B \approx n^{1/2}$ , we get **subexponential complexity**



## *Index calculus : success stories*

---

- ▶ **Finite fields** : Adleman [A79,A94], Coppersmith [C84], Adleman and Huang [AH99]  
Subexponential complexity

$$\exp(\log^{1/3} |K| \log^{2/3} \log |K|)$$





## *Index calculus : success stories*

---

- ▶ **Finite fields** : Adleman [A79,A94], Coppersmith [C84], Adleman and Huang [AH99]  
Subexponential complexity

$$\exp(\log^{1/3} |K| \log^{2/3} \log |K|)$$

- ▶ **Hyperelliptic curves** :  
Adleman-DeMarras-Huang [ADH94], Gaudry [G00],  
Gaudry-Thomé-Thériault-Diem [GTDD07]  
Subexponential for large genus ; beat BSGS if  $g \geq 3$



## *Index calculus : success stories*

---

- ▶ **Finite fields** : Adleman [A79,A94], Coppersmith [C84], Adleman and Huang [AH99]  
Subexponential complexity

$$\exp(\log^{1/3} |K| \log^{2/3} \log |K|)$$

- ▶ **Hyperelliptic curves** :  
Adleman-DeMarras-Huang [ADH94], Gaudry [G00],  
Gaudry-Thomé-Thériault-Diem [GTDD07]  
Subexponential for large genus ; beat BSGS if  $g \geq 3$
- ▶ **Elliptic curves** : no algorithm at all until 2005



# *Index calculus for elliptic curves*

---

- ▶ For finite fields, **small “primes”** are a natural factor basis
  - ▶ Every element factors uniquely as a product of primes
  - ▶ “Good” probability that random elements are smooth



# *Index calculus for elliptic curves*

---

- ▶ For finite fields, **small “primes”** are a natural factor basis
  - ▶ Every element factors uniquely as a product of primes
  - ▶ “Good” probability that random elements are smooth
- ▶ Similarly for elliptic curves, we will need
  1. A definition of “small” elements
  2. An algorithm to decompose general elements into (potentially) small elements



# *Index calculus for elliptic curves*

---

- ▶ For finite fields, **small “primes”** are a natural factor basis
  - ▶ Every element factors uniquely as a product of primes
  - ▶ “Good” probability that random elements are smooth
- ▶ Similarly for elliptic curves, we will need
  1. A definition of “small” elements
  2. An algorithm to decompose general elements into (potentially) small elements
- ▶ First partial solutions given by Semaev [S04]



## Summation polynomials [S04]

---

- ▶ Relate the  $x$ -coordinates of points that sum to  $O$
- ▶  $S_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists (x_i, y_i) \in E \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (x_1, y_1) + \dots + (x_r, y_r) = O$



## Summation polynomials [S04]

---

- ▶ Relate the  $x$ -coordinates of points that sum to  $O$
- ▶  $S_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists (x_i, y_i) \in E \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (x_1, y_1) + \dots + (x_r, y_r) = O$
- ▶ Recursive formulae :  
 $S_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2$   
 $S_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \dots \quad (\text{depends on } E)$   
 $S_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) =$   
 $\text{Res}_X (S_{r-k}(x_1, \dots, x_{m-k-1}, X), S_{k+2}(x_{r-k}, \dots, x_r, X))$



## Summation polynomials [S04]

---

- ▶ Relate the  $x$ -coordinates of points that sum to  $O$
- ▶  $S_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists (x_i, y_i) \in E \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (x_1, y_1) + \dots + (x_r, y_r) = O$
- ▶ Recursive formulae :  
 $S_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2$   
 $S_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \dots \quad (\text{depends on } E)$   
 $S_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) =$   
 $\text{Res}_X (S_{r-k}(x_1, \dots, x_{m-k-1}, X), S_{k+2}(x_{r-k}, \dots, x_r, X))$
- ▶  $S_r$  has degree  $2^{r-2}$  in each variable  
Symmetric set of solutions





# Semaev's variant of index calculus

---

- ▶ Semaev's variant of index calculus :
  - ▶ **Factor basis** :  
define  $\mathcal{F}_V := \{(x, y) \in E \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}\}$  where  $V \subset K$
  - ▶ **Relation search** : for each relation,  
Compute  $(X_i, Y_i) := a_i P + b_i Q$  for random  $a_i, b_i$   
**Find  $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbf{V}$  with  $\mathbf{S}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{0}$**   
Find the corresponding  $y_j$



# Semaev's variant of index calculus

---

- ▶ Semaev's variant of index calculus :
  - ▶ **Factor basis** :  
define  $\mathcal{F}_V := \{(x, y) \in E \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}\}$  where  $V \subset K$
  - ▶ **Relation search** : for each relation,  
Compute  $(X_i, Y_i) := a_i P + b_i Q$  for random  $a_i, b_i$   
**Find  $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbf{V}$  with  $\mathbf{S}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{0}$**   
Find the corresponding  $y_j$
- ▶ **Semaev's observation** : ECDLP reduced to solving summation's polynomial with constraints  $x_i \in V$



# Semaev's variant of index calculus

---

- ▶ Semaev's variant of index calculus :
  - ▶ **Factor basis** :  
define  $\mathcal{F}_V := \{(x, y) \in E \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}\}$  where  $V \subset K$
  - ▶ **Relation search** : for each relation,  
Compute  $(X_i, Y_i) := a_i P + b_i Q$  for random  $a_i, b_i$   
**Find  $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbf{V}$  with  $\mathbf{S}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbf{0}$**   
Find the corresponding  $y_j$
- ▶ **Semaev's observation** : ECDLP reduced to solving summation's polynomial with constraints  $x_i \in V$
- ▶ Remains to define  $V$  such that relation search is feasible



## *Focus on composite fields*

---

- ▶ For  $K := \mathbb{F}_p$ , Semaev proposed  $V := \{x < B\}$   
But could not solve summation polynomials



## *Focus on composite fields*

---

- ▶ For  $K := \mathbb{F}_p$ , Semaev proposed  $V := \{x < B\}$   
But could not solve summation polynomials
- ▶ For  $K := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , Gaudry and Diem proposed  $V := \mathbb{F}_q$ 
  - ▶ Gaudry [G09] : algorithm faster than generic ones for any  $q, n \geq 3$  (but still exponential)
  - ▶ Diem [D11] : subexponential algorithm when  $q$  and  $n$  increase in an appropriate way



## *Focus on composite fields*

---

- ▶ For  $K := \mathbb{F}_p$ , Semaev proposed  $V := \{x < B\}$   
But could not solve summation polynomials
- ▶ For  $K := \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , Gaudry and Diem proposed  $V := \mathbb{F}_q$ 
  - ▶ Gaudry [G09] : algorithm faster than generic ones for any  $q, n \geq 3$  (but still exponential)
  - ▶ Diem [D11] : subexponential algorithm when  $q$  and  $n$  increase in an appropriate way
- ▶ Idea in both cases : **Weil descent** on Semaev polynomial  
Reduction to a **polynomial system of equations**



## *Finding relations : Weil descent*

---

- ▶ Finding relations amounts to  
**Finding  $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\mathbf{S}_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{0}$**



## Finding relations : Weil descent

---

- ▶ Finding relations amounts to  
**Finding  $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $S_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{X}_i) = 0$**
- ▶ See  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ See polynomial equation  $S_{n+1} = 0$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  as a **system** of polynomial equations over  $\mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ Solve the system





## Finding relations : Weil descent

---

- ▶ Finding relations amounts to  
**Finding  $x_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $S_{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_n, X_i) = 0$**
- ▶ See  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ See polynomial equation  $S_{n+1} = 0$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$   
as a **system** of polynomial equations over  $\mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ Solve the system
  
- ▶ System harder to solve for larger  $n$   
**Attack does not work for  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  when  $n$  prime**



## *Diem's variant of index calculus* [D11b]

---

Let  $K := \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Fix  $n' < n$  and  $m \approx n/n'$

- ▶ **Factor basis :**

Choose a **vector subspace**  $V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with dimension  $n'$

Define  $\mathcal{F}_V := \{(x, y) \in E \mid x \in V\}$



## *Diem's variant of index calculus* [D11b]

---

Let  $K := \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Fix  $n' < n$  and  $m \approx n/n'$

- ▶ **Factor basis** :

Choose a **vector subspace**  $V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with dimension  $n'$

Define  $\mathcal{F}_V := \{(x, y) \in E \mid x \in V\}$

- ▶ **Relation search** : find about  $2^{n'}$  relations. For each one,

Compute  $(X_i, Y_i) := a_i P + b_i Q$  for random  $a_i, b_i$

Find  $x_j \in V$  with  $S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, X_i) = 0$

Find the corresponding  $y_j$



## *Diem's variant of index calculus* [D11b]

---

Let  $K := \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Fix  $n' < n$  and  $m \approx n/n'$

- ▶ **Factor basis** :

Choose a **vector subspace**  $V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with dimension  $n'$

Define  $\mathcal{F}_V := \{(x, y) \in E \mid x \in V\}$

- ▶ **Relation search** : find about  $2^{n'}$  relations. For each one,

Compute  $(X_i, Y_i) := a_i P + b_i Q$  for random  $a_i, b_i$

Find  $x_j \in V$  with  $S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, X_i) = 0$

Find the corresponding  $y_j$

- ▶ **Linear algebra** between the relations



# *Finding relations : Weil descent*

---

- ▶ Finding relations amounts to

**Finding  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{V}$  with  $\mathbf{S}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{0}$**



## Finding relations : Weil descent

---

- ▶ Finding relations amounts to  
**Finding  $x_i \in \mathbf{V}$  with  $S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, \mathbf{X}) = 0$**
- ▶ Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n'}\}$  be a basis of  $V$   
Define  $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{ij} v_j$

$$S_{m+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{1j} v_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{mj} v_j, \mathbf{X} \right) = 0$$



## Finding relations : Weil descent

---

- ▶ Finding relations amounts to  
**Finding  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{V}$  with  $\mathbf{S}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{0}$**

- ▶ Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n'}\}$  be a basis of  $V$   
Define  $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $\mathbf{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{ij} v_j$

$$S_{m+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{1j} v_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{n'j} v_j, X \right) = 0$$

- ▶ See  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- ▶ The polynomial equation over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  corresponds to a **system** of polynomial equations over  $\mathbb{F}_2$



# Complexity of Diem's algorithm

---

- ▶ Computing  $S_{m+1}$  with resultants : cost  $2^{t_1}$  where

$$t_1 \approx m(m + 1)$$





# Complexity of Diem's algorithm

---

- ▶ Computing  $S_{m+1}$  with resultants : cost  $2^{t_1}$  where

$$t_1 \approx m(m+1)$$

- ▶ Finding  $2^{n'}$  relations : total cost  $2^{t_2}$  where

$$t_2 \approx n' + \log T_R$$

where  $T_R(m, n', n)$  is **time to compute one relation**



# Complexity of Diem's algorithm

---

- ▶ Computing  $S_{m+1}$  with resultants : cost  $2^{t_1}$  where

$$t_1 \approx m(m+1)$$

- ▶ Finding  $2^{n'}$  relations : total cost  $2^{t_2}$  where

$$t_2 \approx n' + \log T_R$$

where  $T_R(m, n', n)$  is **time to compute one relation**

- ▶ (Sparse) linear algebra on relations : cost  $2^{\omega' t_3}$  where

$$t_3 \approx \log m + \log n + \omega' n'$$



# *Our result*

---

- ▶ When  $p$  is small, systems arising from a Weil descent are **much easier** to solve than random systems



## Our result

---

- ▶ When  $p$  is small, systems arising from a Weil descent are **much easier** to solve than random systems
- ▶ Under a common **heuristic assumption** validated by experiments for small parameters, we can choose  $m$  and  $n'$  such that Diem's algorithm for ECDLP over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  has **subexponential** complexity

$$\leq 2^{2n^{2/3} \log n}$$



# Outline

---

From ECDLP to polynomial systems

Algebraic cryptanalysis

Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

Back to ECDLP



# *Algebraic cryptanalysis*

---

- ▶ Reduce some cryptanalytic problems to the resolution of some systems of **multivariate polynomial equations**



# *Algebraic cryptanalysis*

---

- ▶ Reduce some cryptanalytic problems to the resolution of some systems of **multivariate polynomial equations**
- ▶ Generic polynomial systems are hard to solve, but **“cryptanalysis” systems are far from generic**



# *Algebraic cryptanalysis*

---

- ▶ Reduce some cryptanalytic problems to the resolution of some systems of **multivariate polynomial equations**
- ▶ Generic polynomial systems are hard to solve, but **“cryptanalysis” systems are far from generic**
- ▶ Systems usually solved with **Gröbner basis algorithms**





# *Algebraic cryptanalysis*

---

- ▶ Reduce some cryptanalytic problems to the resolution of some systems of **multivariate polynomial equations**
- ▶ Generic polynomial systems are hard to solve, but **“cryptanalysis” systems are far from generic**
- ▶ Systems usually solved with **Gröbner basis algorithms**
- ▶ Success stories :
  - ▶ HFE and variants
  - ▶ Isomorphism of polynomials
  - ▶ MacEliece variants
  - ▶ Algebraic side-channel attacks



# Polynomial systems

---

- ▶ Let  $K$  be a field and  $R := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R$ .

Solve

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ \dots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \end{cases}$$



# Polynomial systems

---

- ▶ Let  $K$  be a field and  $R := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R$ .

Solve

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ \dots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Linear systems can be solved by triangulation with Gaussian elimination.

What about polynomial systems?



# Linearization

---

- ▶ Construct all products

$$g_{i,j} = t_j f_i$$

where  $t_j$  is a *monomial* and  $\deg(g_{i,j}) \leq d$



# Linearization

---

- ▶ Construct all products

$$g_{i,j} = t_j f_i$$

where  $t_j$  is a *monomial* and  $\deg(g_{i,j}) \leq d$

- ▶ Decompose each product in monomial terms

$$g_{i,j} = \sum_k c_{i,j}^k m_k$$



# Linearization

---

- ▶ Construct all products

$$g_{i,j} = t_j f_i$$

where  $t_j$  is a *monomial* and  $\deg(g_{i,j}) \leq d$

- ▶ Decompose each product in monomial terms

$$g_{i,j} = \sum_k c_{i,j}^k m_k$$

- ▶ Write all coefficients in a **Macauley matrix**  $\mathcal{M}_d$ , each row corresponding to one polynomial  $g_{i,j}$  and each column corresponding to one monomial term  $m_k$



# *Linearization*

---

- ▶ If  $d$  large enough, some linear combinations of the rows lead to new polynomials with lower degrees



# Linearization

---

- ▶ If  $d$  large enough, some linear combinations of the rows lead to new polynomials with lower degrees
- ▶ If  $d$  large enough, linear algebra on  $\mathcal{M}_d$  provides a new “triangular” system of equations

$$\begin{cases} g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0 \\ \dots \\ g_{m'-1}(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0 \\ g_{m'}(x_n) = 0 \end{cases}$$





# Linearization

---

- ▶ If  $d$  large enough, some linear combinations of the rows lead to new polynomials with lower degrees
- ▶ If  $d$  large enough, linear algebra on  $\mathcal{M}_d$  provides a new “triangular” system of equations

$$\begin{cases} g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0 \\ \dots \\ g_{m'-1}(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0 \\ g_{m'}(x_n) = 0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The new system is in fact a *Gröbner basis* for the *lexicographic ordering*



# Gröbner bases

---

- ▶ Given an ideal  $I(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and a monomial ordering  $>$ , a *Gröbner basis* (GB) for this ordering is a basis  $\{f'_1, \dots, f'_{\ell'}\}$  such that for any  $f \in I(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ , there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell'\}$  such that  $\text{LT}(f'_i) \mid \text{LT}(f)$  (LT = leading term for the ordering)
- ▶ Any  $f \in I$  can be (uniquely) reduced by the GB



# Gröbner bases

---

- ▶ Given an ideal  $I(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and a monomial ordering  $>$ , a *Gröbner basis* (GB) for this ordering is a basis  $\{f'_1, \dots, f'_{\ell'}\}$  such that for any  $f \in I(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ , there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell'\}$  such that  $\text{LT}(f'_i) \mid \text{LT}(f)$  (LT = leading term for the ordering)
- ▶ Any  $f \in I$  can be (uniquely) reduced by the GB
- ▶ Ideal membership ( $f \in I?$ ) trivial given GB



# *Gröbner basis algorithms*

---

- ▶ First algorithm by Buchberger [B65]
- ▶ Connection with linear algebra by Lazard [L83]



# Gröbner basis algorithms

---

- ▶ First algorithm by Buchberger [B65]
- ▶ Connection with linear algebra by Lazard [L83]
- ▶ Best algorithms today are Faugère's F4 and F5 [F99,F02]
- ▶ In F4 and F5, **Macaulay matrices** of increasing size are successively computed and linearly dependent rows are removed with linear algebra until a Gröbner basis is found



# Gröbner basis algorithms

---

- ▶ First algorithm by Buchberger [B65]
- ▶ Connection with linear algebra by Lazard [L83]
- ▶ Best algorithms today are Faugère's F4 and F5 [F99,F02]
- ▶ In F4 and F5, **Macaulay matrices** of increasing size are successively computed and linearly dependent rows are removed with linear algebra until a Gröbner basis is found
- ▶ In F5, some rows of the Macaulay matrices are omitted to avoid trivial relations like  $0 = f_1 f_2 - f_2 f_1$
- ▶ In F4, the reductions are parallelized



# Complexity of Gröbner basis algorithms

---

- ▶ Complexity of GB algorithms  
     $\approx$  cost of linear algebra on the largest Macaulay matrix



# Complexity of Gröbner basis algorithms

---

- ▶ Complexity of GB algorithms  
≈ cost of linear algebra on the largest Macaulay matrix
- ▶ Important parameter : **degree of regularity**  
maximal degree  $D_{reg}$  of all polynomials computed





# Complexity of Gröbner basis algorithms

---

- ▶ Complexity of GB algorithms  
 $\approx$  cost of linear algebra on the largest Macaulay matrix
- ▶ Important parameter : **degree of regularity**  
maximal degree  $D_{reg}$  of all polynomials computed
- ▶ # monomials at this degree  $\approx n^{D_{reg}}$



# Complexity of Gröbner basis algorithms

---

- ▶ Complexity of GB algorithms  
 $\approx$  cost of linear algebra on the largest Macaulay matrix
- ▶ Important parameter : **degree of regularity**  
maximal degree  $D_{reg}$  of all polynomials computed
- ▶ # monomials at this degree  $\approx n^{D_{reg}}$
- ▶ Total cost ( $n$  variables) bounded in time and memory by

$$n^{\omega D_{reg}} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{2D_{reg}}$$

$\omega \leq 3$  linear algebra constant



# “Random” systems

---

- ▶ For a random system of  $n$  polynomial equations with degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  in  $n$  variables,

$$D_{reg} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1)$$



# “Random” systems

---

- ▶ For a random system of  $n$  polynomial equations with degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  in  $n$  variables,

$$D_{reg} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1)$$

- ▶ *Overdetermined* systems have *lower* degrees of regularity  
Adding new equations helps



# Polynomial systems over finite fields

---

- ▶ If  $K := \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  
add the *field equations*  $x_i^q - x_i = 0$  to the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ \dots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ x_1^q - x_1 = 0 \\ \dots \\ x_n^q - x_n = 0 \end{array} \right.$$



# Polynomial systems over finite fields

---

- ▶ If  $K := \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  
add the *field equations*  $x_i^q - x_i = 0$  to the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ \dots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ x_1^q - x_1 = 0 \\ \dots \\ x_n^q - x_n = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Degrees of regularity known for “generic” binary systems [BFS04,BFS05]



## First fall degree

---

- ▶ Other important parameter : **first fall degree**  $D_{ff}$   
Lowest degree  $d$  such that there exist  
*non trivial*  $g_i \in R$  with

$$\max \deg(g_i f_i) = d, \quad \deg \left( \sum g_i f_i \right) < d$$



## First fall degree

---

- ▶ Other important parameter : **first fall degree**  $D_{ff}$   
Lowest degree  $d$  such that there exist  
*non trivial*  $g_i \in R$  with

$$\max \deg(g_i f_i) = d, \quad \deg\left(\sum g_i f_i\right) < d$$

- ▶ Trivial degree fall relations

$$\sum g_i f_i = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (f_i^{q-1} - 1)f_i = 0$$





## First fall degree

---

- ▶ Other important parameter : **first fall degree**  $D_{ff}$   
Lowest degree  $d$  such that there exist  
*non trivial*  $g_i \in R$  with

$$\max \deg(g_i f_i) = d, \quad \deg\left(\sum g_i f_i\right) < d$$

- ▶ Trivial degree fall relations

$$\sum g_i f_i = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (f_i^{q-1} - 1)f_i = 0$$

- ▶ Sometimes called *degree of regularity* in the literature [DG10,DH11]



# Degree of regularity vs. first fall degree

---

- ▶ For many classes of systems :

first fall degree  $D_{ff}$   $\approx$  degree of regularity  $D_{reg}$

- ▶ Not true in general but **experimental evidence** for “random” systems and many “crypto” systems, including HFE and some variants



# Degree of regularity vs. first fall degree

---

- ▶ For many classes of systems :

first fall degree  $D_{ff}$   $\approx$  degree of regularity  $D_{reg}$

- ▶ Not true in general but **experimental evidence** for “random” systems and many “crypto” systems, including HFE and some variants
- ▶ Intuition : for these systems, there are in fact **many** degree fall relations at  $D_{ff}$  or  $D_{ff} + 1$ , that in turn produce many further lower degree relations, etc



# Degree of regularity vs. first fall degree

---

- ▶ For many classes of systems :

first fall degree  $D_{ff} \approx$  degree of regularity  $D_{reg}$

- ▶ Not true in general but **experimental evidence** for “random” systems and many “crypto” systems, including HFE and some variants
- ▶ Intuition : for these systems, there are in fact **many** degree fall relations at  $D_{ff}$  or  $D_{ff} + 1$ , that in turn produce many further lower degree relations, etc
- ▶ Assumption  $D_{ff} \approx D_{reg}$  used in our analysis



# Outline

---

From ECDLP to polynomial systems

Algebraic cryptanalysis

Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

Back to ECDLP



# Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

---

- ▶ Parameters :  $n, n', m, t$   
 $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  with degrees  $\leq 2^t - 1$  in all variables  
 $V$  a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2$  with dimension  $n'$
- ▶ Problem : find  $x_i \in V, i = 1, \dots, m$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0.$$



# Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

---

- ▶ Parameters :  $n, n', m, t$   
 $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  with degrees  $\leq 2^t - 1$  in all variables  
 $V$  a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2$  with dimension  $n'$

- ▶ Problem : find  $x_i \in V, i = 1, \dots, m$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0.$$

- ▶ If  $V := \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , we can use Berlekamp [B70]



# Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

---

- ▶ Parameters :  $n, n', m, t$   
 $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  with degrees  $\leq 2^t - 1$  in all variables  
 $V$  a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2$  with dimension  $n'$
- ▶ Problem : find  $x_i \in V, i = 1, \dots, m$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0.$$

- ▶ If  $V := \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , we can use Berlekamp [B70]
- ▶ If  $mn' \approx n$ , we expect  $\approx 1$  solution





# Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

---

- ▶ **Weil descent** : if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n'}\}$  is a basis of  $V$  and  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , define **binary variables**  $x_{ij}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}_i = \sum_j x_{ij} \mathbf{v}_j$



# Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

---

- ▶ **Weil descent** : if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n'}\}$  is a basis of  $V$  and  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , define **binary variables**  $x_{ij}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}_i = \sum_j x_{ij} \mathbf{v}_j$  substitute in  $f$  and “**reduce modulo**  $\mathbf{x}_{ij}^2 - \mathbf{x}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}$ ” **decompose in the basis**  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{1j} v_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{n'} x_{mj} v_j\right) \\ &= [f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We get  $n$  equations  $[f]_k^\downarrow = 0$  in  $mn'$  variables  $x_{ij}$



## Degrees and block structure

---

- ▶ If  $e = e_0 + e_1 2 + e_2 4 + \dots + e_{t-1} 2^{t-1}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}x_i^e &= \left( \sum x_{ij} v_j \right)^{e_0} \left( \sum x_{ij}^2 v_j^2 \right)^{e_1} \dots \left( \sum x_{ij}^{2^{t-1}} v_j^{2^{t-1}} \right)^{e_{t-1}} \\ &= \left( \sum x_{ij} v_j \right)^{e_0} \left( \sum x_{ij} v_j^2 \right)^{e_1} \dots \left( \sum x_{ij} v_j^{2^{t-1}} \right)^{e_{t-1}}\end{aligned}$$

degree = Hamming weight of  $(e_0, \dots, e_{t-1})$



## Degrees and block structure

---

- ▶ If  $e = e_0 + e_1 2 + e_2 4 + \dots + e_{t-1} 2^{t-1}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}x_i^e &= \left(\sum x_{ij} v_j\right)^{e_0} \left(\sum x_{ij}^2 v_j^2\right)^{e_1} \dots \left(\sum x_{ij}^{2^{t-1}} v_j^{2^{t-1}}\right)^{e_{t-1}} \\ &= \left(\sum x_{ij} v_j\right)^{e_0} \left(\sum x_{ij} v_j^2\right)^{e_1} \dots \left(\sum x_{ij} v_j^{2^{t-1}}\right)^{e_{t-1}}\end{aligned}$$

degree = Hamming weight of  $(e_0, \dots, e_{t-1})$

- ▶  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n$

Since  $f$  has degree at most  $2^t - 1$  in each variable  $x_i$ ,

Each  $[f]_k^\downarrow$  has degree at most  $t$

in each *block of variables*  $X_i := \{x_{i1}, \dots, x_{i,n'}\}$



# Applications

---

- ▶ Index calculus for binary elliptic curves  
Semaev's polynomials : degree  $2^{m-1}$  in each variable
- ▶ Hidden Field Equation (HFE) polynomial  
degree bounded by  $D$  ; quadratic system over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- ▶ Index calculus for  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$   
degree 1 in each variable ( $t = 1$ )
- ▶ Factorization problem in  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^n})$   
degree 1 in each variable ( $t = 1$ )



## *Example : HFE*

---

- ▶ Public Key Cryptosystem proposed by Patarin [P96]
- ▶ Private key is a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$   
Public key is a disguised version of its Weil descent  
Attacker only knows the disguised system



## Example : HFE

---

- ▶ Public Key Cryptosystem proposed by Patarin [P96]
- ▶ Private key is a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$   
Public key is a disguised version of its Weil descent  
Attacker only knows the disguised system
- ▶ Particularities
  - ▶ “Disguised” ... but no impact on GB complexity



## Example : HFE

---

- ▶ Public Key Cryptosystem proposed by Patarin [P96]
- ▶ Private key is a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$   
Public key is a disguised version of its Weil descent  
Attacker only knows the disguised system
- ▶ Particularities
  - ▶ “Disguised” ... but no impact on GB complexity
  - ▶ Monovariate ( $m = 1$ )
  - ▶  $f$  has a particular shape

$$f(x) := \sum_{2^i+2^j < D} a_{ij}x^{2^i+2^j} + \sum_{2^i < D} b_i x^{2^i} + c$$

Weil descent on  $f$  leads to a *quadratic* system





## *Back to the general case*

---

- ▶ We have  $n$  equations in  $mn'$  variables  $x_{ij}$ , given by

$$0 = f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n$$



## *Back to the general case*

---

- ▶ We have  $n$  equations in  $mn'$  variables  $x_{ij}$ , given by

$$0 = f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n$$

- ▶ Adding new (low degree) equations may accelerate the resolution
- ▶ Can we find more equations?



# *Frobenius transforms are useless*

---

- ▶ Frobenius transforms  $f = 0 \Rightarrow f^2 = 0$



# *Frobenius transforms are useless*

---

- ▶ Frobenius transforms  $f = 0 \Rightarrow f^2 = 0$
- ▶ HW of exponents in  $f$  and  $f^2$  are equal  
 $\Rightarrow [f]_i^\downarrow$  and  $[f^2]_i^\downarrow$  have the same degrees



# Frobenius transforms are useless

---

- ▶ Frobenius transforms  $f = 0 \Rightarrow f^2 = 0$
- ▶ HW of exponents in  $f$  and  $f^2$  are equal  
 $\Rightarrow [f]_i^\downarrow$  and  $[f^2]_i^\downarrow$  have the same degrees
- ▶ But

$$f^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i^2 =$$



# Frobenius transforms are useless

---

- ▶ Frobenius transforms  $f = 0 \Rightarrow f^2 = 0$
- ▶ HW of exponents in  $f$  and  $f^2$  are equal  
 $\Rightarrow [f]_i^\downarrow$  and  $[f^2]_i^\downarrow$  have the same degrees
- ▶ But

$$f^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \theta_j \right)$$



# Frobenius transforms are useless

---

- ▶ Frobenius transforms  $f = 0 \Rightarrow f^2 = 0$
- ▶ HW of exponents in  $f$  and  $f^2$  are equal  
 $\Rightarrow [f]_i^\downarrow$  and  $[f^2]_i^\downarrow$  have the same degrees
- ▶ But

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \theta_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} [f]_i^\downarrow \right) \theta_j \end{aligned}$$



# Frobenius transforms are useless

---

- ▶ Frobenius transforms  $f = 0 \Rightarrow f^2 = 0$
- ▶ HW of exponents in  $f$  and  $f^2$  are equal  
 $\Rightarrow [f]_i^\downarrow$  and  $[f^2]_i^\downarrow$  have the same degrees
- ▶ But

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \theta_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} [f]_i^\downarrow \right) \theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n [f^2]_i^\downarrow \theta_j \end{aligned}$$





# Frobenius transforms are useless

---

- ▶ Frobenius transforms  $f = 0 \Rightarrow f^2 = 0$
- ▶ HW of exponents in  $f$  and  $f^2$  are equal  
 $\Rightarrow [f]_i^\downarrow$  and  $[f^2]_i^\downarrow$  have the same degrees
- ▶ But

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \theta_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [f]_i^\downarrow \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \theta_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} [f]_i^\downarrow \right) \theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n [f^2]_i^\downarrow \theta_j \end{aligned}$$

same equations! (linear combinations)



# *New equations*

---

▶  $0 = f \Rightarrow 0 = x_1 f$



## *New equations*

---

- ▶  $0 = f \Rightarrow 0 = x_1 f$   
 $0 = x_1 f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [x_1 f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [x_1 f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n$



## *New equations*

---

- ▶  $0 = f \Rightarrow 0 = x_1 f$   
 $0 = x_1 f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [x_1 f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [x_1 f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n$
- ▶  $x_1 f$  has degree  $\leq (2^t)$  in  $x_1$  and  $\leq (2^t - 1)$  in  $x_2, \dots, x_m$
- ▶  $[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow$  has degree at most  $t$  in each block  $X_i$



## New equations

---

- ▶  $0 = f \Rightarrow 0 = x_1 f$   
 $0 = x_1 f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [x_1 f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [x_1 f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n$
- ▶  $x_1 f$  has degree  $\leq (2^t)$  in  $x_1$  and  $\leq (2^t - 1)$  in  $x_2, \dots, x_m$
- ▶  $[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow$  has degree at most  $t$  in each block  $X_i$
- ▶ Not the same equations!  
In particular, homogeneous in block  $X_1$   
 $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f_0(x_2, \dots, x_m) + x_1 f_1(x_2, \dots, x_m)$   
 $\Rightarrow x_1 f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1 f_0(x_2, \dots, x_m) + x_1^2 f_1(x_2, \dots, x_m)$



## New equations

---

- ▶  $0 = f \Rightarrow 0 = x_1 f$   
 $0 = x_1 f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = [x_1 f]_1^\downarrow \theta_1 + \dots + [x_1 f]_n^\downarrow \theta_n$
- ▶  $x_1 f$  has degree  $\leq (2^t)$  in  $x_1$  and  $\leq (2^t - 1)$  in  $x_2, \dots, x_m$
- ▶  $[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow$  has degree at most  $t$  in each block  $X_i$
- ▶ Not the same equations!  
In particular, homogeneous in block  $X_1$   
 $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f_0(x_2, \dots, x_m) + x_1 f_1(x_2, \dots, x_m)$   
 $\Rightarrow x_1 f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1 f_0(x_2, \dots, x_m) + x_1^2 f_1(x_2, \dots, x_m)$
- ▶ Similar equations with other monomials instead of  $x_1$   
**Many new low degree equations**



## *New equations, revisited*

---

- ▶ Let  $a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $\theta_i \theta_j = \sum_k a_{ijk} \theta_k$

$$x_1 f = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_j \right) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n a_{ijk} [x_1]_i^\downarrow [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_k.$$



## *New equations, revisited*

---

- ▶ Let  $a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $\theta_i \theta_j = \sum_k a_{ijk} \theta_k$

$$x_1 f = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_j \right) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n a_{ijk} [x_1]_i^\downarrow [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_k.$$

- ▶ Hence

$$[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ijk} [x_1]_i^\downarrow [f]_j^\downarrow = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ik}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,n'}) [f]_j^\downarrow$$

with  $\deg(p_{ik}) = 1$





## New equations, revisited

---

- ▶ Let  $a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $\theta_i \theta_j = \sum_k a_{ijk} \theta_k$

$$x_1 f = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_j \right) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n a_{ijk} [x_1]_i^\downarrow [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_k.$$

- ▶ Hence

$$[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ijk} [x_1]_i^\downarrow [f]_j^\downarrow = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ik}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,n'}) [f]_j^\downarrow$$

with  $\deg(p_{ik}) = 1$

- ▶ The “new” equations  $[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = 0$  are algebraic combinations of the original ones  $[f]_j^\downarrow = 0$



## New equations, revisited

---

- ▶ Let  $a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $\theta_i \theta_j = \sum_k a_{ijk} \theta_k$

$$x_1 f = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1]_i^\downarrow \theta_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_j \right) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n a_{ijk} [x_1]_i^\downarrow [f]_j^\downarrow \theta_k.$$

- ▶ Hence

$$[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ijk} [x_1]_i^\downarrow [f]_j^\downarrow = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ik}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,n'}) [f]_j^\downarrow$$

with  $\deg(p_{ik}) = 1$

- ▶ The “new” equations  $[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = 0$  are algebraic combinations of the original ones  $[f]_j^\downarrow = 0$
- ▶ Will be recovered “blindly” by GB algorithms



## First fall degree

---

- ▶ We have

$$[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ik}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,n'}) [f]_j^\downarrow$$

$$\deg([x_1 f]_k^\downarrow) = mt, \quad \deg(p_{ik}) = 1, \quad \deg([f]_j^\downarrow) = mt$$



## First fall degree

---

- ▶ We have

$$[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ik}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,n'}) [f]_j^\downarrow$$

$$\deg([x_1 f]_k^\downarrow) = mt, \quad \deg(p_{ik}) = 1, \quad \deg([f]_j^\downarrow) = mt$$

- ▶ Non trivial low degree relation !
- ▶ First fall degree  $D_{ff} \leq mt + 1$



## First fall degree

---

- ▶ We have

$$[x_1 f]_k^\downarrow = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ik}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,n'}) [f]_j^\downarrow$$

$$\deg([x_1 f]_k^\downarrow) = mt, \quad \deg(p_{ik}) = 1, \quad \deg([f]_j^\downarrow) = mt$$

- ▶ Non trivial low degree relation !
- ▶ First fall degree  $D_{ff} \leq mt + 1$
- ▶ Essentially as small as it could be (unless  $f$  degenerate)



## *Heuristic assumption*

---

- ▶ **We will heuristically assume  $D_{\text{reg}} \approx D_{\text{ff}}$**   
in most cases,  
for  $f$  chosen randomly with degrees  $\leq 2^{t-1}$   
for  $V$  chosen randomly with dimension  $n'$



# Heuristic assumption

---

- ▶ **We will heuristically assume  $D_{\text{reg}} \approx D_{\text{ff}}$**   
in most cases,  
for  $f$  chosen randomly with degrees  $\leq 2^{t-1}$   
for  $V$  chosen randomly with dimension  $n'$
- ▶ “Classical” assumption in algebraic cryptanalysis
  - ▶ Experimental evidence for “random” and many “crypto” systems **including HFE**
  - ▶ (Confusion in literature between the two notions)



# Heuristic assumption

---

- ▶ **We will heuristically assume  $D_{\text{reg}} \approx D_{\text{ff}}$**   
in most cases,  
for  $f$  chosen randomly with degrees  $\leq 2^{t-1}$   
for  $V$  chosen randomly with dimension  $n'$
- ▶ “Classical” assumption in algebraic cryptanalysis
  - ▶ Experimental evidence for “random” and many “crypto” systems **including HFE**
  - ▶ (Confusion in literature between the two notions)
- ▶ Leads to  **$D_{\text{reg}} \approx mt + 1$**   
(instead of  $D_{\text{reg}} = n(mt - 1) + 1$  for generic systems)





# Experimental evidence that $D_{reg} \approx mt + 1$

---

$t$	$n$	$n'$	$m$	$mt + 1$	$D_{av}$	Av. time (s)	Mem (MB)
1	6	3	2	3	3.1	0	10
1	6	2	3	4	3.8	0	10
1	8	4	2	3	3.0	0	11
1	12	6	2	3	3.6	0	11
1	12	4	3	4	4.2	0	11
1	12	3	4	5	5.3	0	14
1	12	2	6	7	7.4	1	23
1	15	5	3	4	4.1	5	20
1	15	3	5	6	6.3	7	114
1	16	8	2	3	3.0	14	25
1	16	4	4	5	5.3	16	98
1	16	2	8	9	9.6	69	3388
1	18	9	2	3	3.0	85	74
1	18	6	3	4	4.1	86	89
1	18	3	6	7	7.4	233	5398
1	20	10	2	3	3.0	487	291
1	20	5	4	5	6.2	515	733
1	20	4	5	6	6.2	669	3226



# Experimental evidence that $D_{reg} \approx mt + 1$

---

$t$	$n$	$n'$	$m$	$mt + 1$	$D_{av}$	Av. time (s)	Mem (MB)
2	6	3	2	5	5.1	0	10
2	6	2	3	7	6.7	0	10
2	8	4	2	5	5.1	0	11
2	9	3	3	7	7.2	0	12
2	12	4	3	7	7.1	1	38
2	12	3	4	9	9.3	2	95
2	15	5	3	7	7.0	12	263
2	16	8	2	5	5.1	13	36
3	6	3	2	7	6.6	0	10
3	12	6	2	7	7.0	1	31
3	12	4	3	10	10.1	9	70
3	12	3	4	13	12.6	70	113
3	15	5	3	10	10.0	118	2371
3	16	8	2	7	7.0	23	253
3	16	4	4	13	13.2	1891	20135
4	8	4	2	9	8.7	1	11
4	12	4	3	13	12.6	199	116
4	15	5	3	13	13.1	2904	6696



# Complexity analysis

---

- ▶ Assuming  $D_{reg} \approx D_{ff}$ , we have  $D_{reg} \approx mt + 1$
- ▶ Time and memory bounded by

$$n^{\omega D_{reg}} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{2D_{reg}}$$

$\omega \leq 3$  : linear algebra constant



# Complexity analysis

---

- ▶ Assuming  $D_{reg} \approx D_{ff}$ , we have  $D_{reg} \approx mt + 1$
- ▶ Time and memory bounded by

$$n^{\omega D_{reg}} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{2D_{reg}}$$

$\omega \leq 3$  : linear algebra constant

- ▶ Block structure  $\Rightarrow$  time and memory bounded by

$$(n')^{\omega D_{reg}} \quad \text{and} \quad (n')^{2D_{reg}}$$



# Remarks

---

- ▶ Heuristic assumption  $D_{reg} \approx D_{ff}$
- ▶ Assumption must be adapted (and checked) in particular cases



# Remarks

---

- ▶ Heuristic assumption  $D_{reg} \approx D_{ff}$
- ▶ Assumption must be adapted (and checked) in particular cases
- ▶ Similar analysis for other “small characteristic” fields

$$D_{reg} \approx (p - 1)mt + 1$$



## *HFE as a particular case*

---

- ▶ Cryptanalysis leads to a particular case of our systems with  $m = 1$ ,  $t = \lceil \log_2 D \rceil$ ,  $V = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$D_{reg} \approx D_{ff} \geq mt + 1 = \lceil \log_2 D \rceil + 1$$



## *HFE as a particular case*

---

- ▶ Cryptanalysis leads to a particular case of our systems with  $m = 1$ ,  $t = \lceil \log_2 D \rceil$ ,  $V = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$D_{reg} \approx D_{ff} \geq mt + 1 = \lceil \log_2 D \rceil + 1$$

We recover [KS99,FJ03,GJS06,DG10,DH11,...]





## *HFE as a particular case*

---

- ▶ Cryptanalysis leads to a particular case of our systems with  $m = 1$ ,  $t = \lceil \log_2 D \rceil$ ,  $V = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$D_{reg} \approx D_{ff} \geq mt + 1 = \lceil \log_2 D \rceil + 1$$

We recover [KS99,FJ03,GJS06,DG10,DH11,...]

- ▶ No impact of HFE special shape  
Other restrictions may have a (positive) impact [DH11]



## *Similarities with HFE*

---

- ▶ Polynomial system arising from a Weil descent
- ▶ Many low degree relations [C01,...]
- ▶ First fall degree [DG10,DH11,...]



## *Similarities with HFE*

---

- ▶ Polynomial system arising from a Weil descent
- ▶ Many low degree relations [C01,...]
- ▶ First fall degree [DG10,DH11,...]
- ▶ Subsystem with smaller number of variables [GJS06,...]  
(not discussed here)



## Similarities with HFE

---

- ▶ Polynomial system arising from a Weil descent
- ▶ Many low degree relations [C01,...]
- ▶ First fall degree [DG10,DH11,...]
- ▶ Subsystem with smaller number of variables [GJS06,...]  
(not discussed here)
- ▶ Assumption  $D_{reg} \approx D_{ff}$   
widely verified for HFE polynomials [FJ03,GJS06,...]



# Outline

---

From ECDLP to polynomial systems

Algebraic cryptanalysis

Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

Back to ECDLP



## *Diem's variant of index calculus* [D11b]

---

Fix  $n' < n$  and  $m \approx n/n'$

- ▶ **Factor basis** :

Choose a **vector subspace**  $V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with dimension  $n'$   
Define  $\mathcal{F}_V := \{(x, y) \in E \mid x \in V\}$

- ▶ **Relation search** : find about  $2^{n'}$  relations. For each one,

Compute  $(X_i, Y_i) := a_i P + b_i Q$  for random  $a_i, b_i$

Find  $x_j \in V$  with  $S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, X_i) = 0$

Find the corresponding  $y_j$

- ▶ **Linear algebra** between the relations



# *Finding relations*

---

- ▶ Find  $x_j \in V$  with  $S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, X_i) = 0$



## Finding relations

---

- ▶ Find  $x_j \in V$  with  $S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, X_i) = 0$
- ▶ Weil descent  $\rightarrow$  polynomial system
  - ▶ finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , vector subspace  $V$  dimension  $n'$
  - ▶  $m$  variables
  - ▶ degree  $2^{m-1}$  in each variable  $\Rightarrow t = m$
- ▶ Our analysis leads to  $D_{ff} \leq mt + 1 = m^2 + 1$  (not tight)





## Finding relations

---

- ▶ Find  $x_j \in V$  with  $S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, X_i) = 0$
- ▶ Weil descent  $\rightarrow$  polynomial system
  - ▶ finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , vector subspace  $V$  dimension  $n'$
  - ▶  $m$  variables
  - ▶ degree  $2^{m-1}$  in each variable  $\Rightarrow t = m$
- ▶ Our analysis leads to  $D_{ff} \leq mt + 1 = m^2 + 1$  (not tight)
- ▶ ! Summation polynomials not “random” ! (symmetric, ...)



## Heuristic assumption

---

- ▶ Let  $n, n', m, E$  be fixed.  
Let  $R_i = (X_i, Y_i)$  be a random point of  $E$ .  
Let  $V$  be a random vector space of dimension  $n'$ .
- ▶ **Assumption** : after applying a Weil descent to

$$S_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m, X_i) = 0,$$

the resulting system satisfies  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{reg}} \approx \mathbf{D}_{\text{ff}}$



## Experimental verification $D_{\text{reg}} \approx D_{\text{ff}}$

---

- ▶ Random curves  $E : y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_4x^2 + a_6$  for random  $a_4, a_6$

$n$	$n'$	$m$	$t$	$mt + 1 (\geq D_{\text{ff}})$	$D_{\text{av}}$	Time	Mem.
11	6	2	2	5	3.0	0	11
11	4	3	3	10	7.1	1	15
17	9	2	2	5	4.0	0	16
17	6	3	3	10	7.1	130	2136

$D_{\text{reg}}$  even *lower* than expected



# Experimental verification $D_{\text{reg}} \approx D_{\text{ff}}$

---

- ▶ Koblitz curves  $E : y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 + 1$

$n$	$n'$	$m$	$t$	$mt + 1 (\geq D_{\text{ff}})$	$D_{\text{av}}$	Time	Mem.
11	6	2	2	5	3.0	0	11
11	4	3	3	10	7.1	1	15
17	9	2	2	5	4.0	0	15
17	6	3	3	10	7.2	132	2133

$D_{\text{reg}}$  even *lower* than expected



# Complexity of Diem's algorithm

---

- ▶ Computing  $S_{m+1}$  with resultants : cost  $2^{t_1}$  where

$$t_1 \approx m(m + 1)$$



# Complexity of Diem's algorithm

---

- ▶ Computing  $S_{m+1}$  with resultants : cost  $2^{t_1}$  where

$$t_1 \approx m(m+1)$$

- ▶ Finding  $2^{n'}$  relations : total cost  $2^{t_2}$  where

$$t_2 \approx n' + m \log m + \omega(m^2 + 1) \log n'$$

- ▶ Each one costs  $(n')^{\omega(mt+1)} = (n')^{\omega(m^2+1)}$
- ▶ Additional factor  $m!$  lost due to symmetry



# Complexity of Diem's algorithm

---

- ▶ Computing  $S_{m+1}$  with resultants : cost  $2^{t_1}$  where

$$t_1 \approx m(m+1)$$

- ▶ Finding  $2^{n'}$  relations : total cost  $2^{t_2}$  where

$$t_2 \approx n' + m \log m + \omega(m^2 + 1) \log n'$$

- ▶ Each one costs  $(n')^{\omega(mt+1)} = (n')^{\omega(m^2+1)}$
- ▶ Additional factor  $m!$  lost due to symmetry
- ▶ (Sparse) linear algebra on relations : cost  $2^{\omega' t_3}$  where

$$t_3 \approx \log m + \log n + \omega' n'$$



## Estimations for “small” parameters

---

$n$	$m$	$n'$	$t_1$	$t_2$	$t_3$	$t_{max}$
50	2	25	6	97	57	97
100	2	50	6	137	108	137
160	2	80	6	177	168	177
200	2	100	6	202	209	209
500	3	167	12	393	344	393
1000	4	250	20	664	512	664
2000	4	500	20	965	1013	1013
5000	6	833	42	1926	1682	1926
10000	7	1429	56	3020	2873	3020
20000	9	2222	90	4986	4462	4986
50000	11	4545	132	9030	9110	9110
100000	14	7143	210	14762	14306	14762





# Asymptotic estimates

---

- ▶ Fix  $n' := n^\alpha$  and  $m := n^{1-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha := 2/3$

$$t_1 \approx n^{2/3},$$

$$t_2 \approx (1/3)n^{1/3} \log n + n^{2/3} + (2/3)\omega n^{2/3} \log n,$$

$$t_3 \approx (4/3) \log n + \omega' n^{2/3}$$



# Asymptotic estimates

---

- ▶ Fix  $n' := n^\alpha$  and  $m := n^{1-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha := 2/3$

$$t_1 \approx n^{2/3},$$

$$t_2 \approx (1/3)n^{1/3} \log n + n^{2/3} + (2/3)\omega n^{2/3} \log n,$$

$$t_3 \approx (4/3) \log n + \omega' n^{2/3}$$

- ▶ Overall complexity

$$2^T \quad \text{with} \quad T \approx cn^{2/3} \log n \quad \text{and} \quad c := \frac{2}{3}\omega \leq 2$$



# Outline

---

From ECDLP to polynomial systems

Algebraic cryptanalysis

Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent

Back to ECDLP



# Conclusion

---

- ▶ ECDLP subexponential for binary curves?
  - ▶ Reasonable evidence under heuristic assumption
  - ▶ Diem's algorithm would beat BSGS for  $n \geq 2000$
  - ▶ NIST curves remain safe so far
  - ▶ Extension to any "small" characteristic field



# Conclusion

---

- ▶ ECDLP subexponential for binary curves?
  - ▶ Reasonable evidence under heuristic assumption
  - ▶ Diem's algorithm would beat BSGS for  $n \geq 2000$
  - ▶ NIST curves remain safe so far
  - ▶ Extension to any "small" characteristic field
- ▶ Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent
  - ▶ Very important class of systems for cryptography
  - ▶ ECDLP, HFE, DLP, factoring in  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^n})$ , ...



# Conclusion

---

- ▶ ECDLP subexponential for binary curves?
  - ▶ Reasonable evidence under heuristic assumption
  - ▶ Diem's algorithm would beat BSGS for  $n \geq 2000$
  - ▶ NIST curves remain safe so far
  - ▶ Extension to any "small" characteristic field
- ▶ Polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent
  - ▶ Very important class of systems for cryptography
  - ▶ ECDLP, HFE, DLP, factoring in  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^n})$ , ...
- ▶ Future work
  - ▶ Better algorithms, remove heuristic assumptions
  - ▶ Extension to prime fields?



# References

---

- ▶ [A79] L Adleman. *A Subexponential Algorithm for the Discrete Logarithm Problem with Applications to Cryptography.*
- ▶ [A94] L Adleman. *The function field sieve.*
- ▶ [ADH94] L Adleman, J DeMarrais, MD Huang. *A subexponential algorithm for discrete logarithms over the rational subgroup of the jacobians of large genus hyperelliptic curves over finite fields.*
- ▶ [AH99] L Adleman and MD Huang. *Function Field Sieve Method for Discrete Logarithms over Finite Fields.*



# References

---

- ▶ [BFS04] M Bardet, JC Faugère, B Salvy. *On the complexity of Gröbner basis computation of semi-regular overdetermined algebraic equations.*
- ▶ [BFS05] M Bardet, JC Faugère, B Salvy. *Asymptotic Expansion of the Degree of Regularity for Semi-Regular Systems of Equations.*
- ▶ [B70] E Berlekamp. *Factoring polynomials over large finite fields.*
- ▶ [B65] B Buchberger. *Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal.*





# References

---

- ▶ [C84] D Coppersmith. *Fast evaluation of logarithms in fields of characteristic two.*
- ▶ [C03] N Courtois. *The Security of Hidden Field Equations (HFE).*
- ▶ [D11] C Diem. *On the discrete logarithm problem in elliptic curves.*
- ▶ [D11b] C Diem. *On the discrete logarithm problem in elliptic curves (II).*
- ▶ [DH11] J Ding and T Hodges. *Inverting HFE Systems Is Quasi-Polynomial for All Fields.*



# References

---

- ▶ [DG10] V Dubois and N Gama. *The Degree of Regularity of HFE Systems*.
- ▶ [F99] JC Faugère. *A new efficient algorithm for computing Gröbner bases (F4)*.
- ▶ [F02] JC Faugère. *A new efficient algorithm for computing Gröbner bases without reduction to zero (F5)*.
- ▶ [FGLM93] JC Faugère, P Gianni, D Lazard, T Mora. *Efficient Computation of Zero-Dimensional Gröbner Bases by Change of Ordering*



# References

---

- ▶ [FJ03] JC Faugère and A Joux. *Algebraic Cryptanalysis of Hidden Field Equation (HFE) Cryptosystems Using Gröbner Bases.*
- ▶ [FPPR11] JC Faugère, L Perret, C Petit, G Renault, *New subexponential algorithms for factoring in  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^n})$ .*
- ▶ [FPPR12] JC Faugère, L Perret, C Petit, G Renault, *Improving the complexity of index calculus for elliptic curves over binary fields.*
- ▶ [GS99] S Galbraith, N Smart. *A cryptographic application of the Weil descent.*
- ▶ [G00] P Gaudry. *An algorithm for solving the discrete log problem on hyperelliptic curves.*



## References

---

- ▶ [G09] P Gaudry. *Index calculus for abelian varieties of small dimension and the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem.*
- ▶ [GHS00] P Gaudry, F Hess, N Smart. *Constructive and desctructive facets of Weil descent on elliptic curves.*
- ▶ [G07] P Gaudry, E Thomé, N Thériault, C Diem. *A double large prime variation for small genus hyperelliptic index calculus.*
- ▶ [GJS06] L Granboulan and A Joux and J Stern. *Inverting HFE Is Quasipolynomial.*
- ▶ [HPS12] T Hodges, C Petit, J Schläffer. *First fall degree of polynomials systems arising from a Weil descent.*



# References

---

- ▶ [KS99] A Kipnis, A Shamir. *Cryptanalysis of the HFE Public Key Cryptosystem by Relinearization.*
- ▶ [L83] D Lazard. *Gröbner-bases, Gaussian elimination and resolution of systems of algebraic equations.*
- ▶ [MOV93] A Menezes, T Okamoto, S Vanstone. *Reducing elliptic curve logarithms to logarithms in a finite field*
- ▶ [MQ01] A Menezes, M Qu. *Analysis of the Weil descent attack of Gaudry, Hess and Smart*
- ▶ [P96] J Patarin. *Hidden Fields Equations (HFE) and Isomorphisms of Polynomials (IP) : Two New Families of Asymmetric Algorithms.*



# References

---

- ▶ C Petit, JJ Quisquater. *On polynomial systems arising from a Weil descent.*
- ▶ [SA21] T Satoh, K Araki. *Fermat quotients and the polynomial time discrete log algorithm for anomalous elliptic curves*
- ▶ [S04] I Semaev. *Summation polynomials and the discrete logarithm problem on elliptic curves.*
- ▶ [S98] I Semaev. *Evaluation of a discrete logarithm in a group of  $p$ -torsion points of an elliptic curve in characteristic  $p$*
- ▶ [S99] N Smart. *The discrete logarithm problem on elliptic curves of trace one*

